LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Order

The 19th August, 1983

No. ID/90-83/42082.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ami Chand, the management of M/s Oswal Steels 263/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ami Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

MINAKSHI ANAND CHAUDHRY,

JointSecretary to Government, Haryana, Labour Department.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Orders

The 19th August, 1983

No. ID/FD/95-83/42075.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sukhan Lal and the management of M/s Premier Straw Board Paper Mills (P) Limited, Plot No. 87-88 Sector 25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sukhan Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/90-83/42089.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Phool Singh, and the management of M/s Jay Dinesh Steel Industries (P) Limited, M.I. Estate, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November 1970 the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Phool Singh, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/91-83/42096.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Dina Nath, Singh and the management of M/s. Jay Dinesh Steel Industries (P) Limited, M. I. Estate, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing!

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, April 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court,

Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government Notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of. Shri Dira Nath Singh, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/93-83/42131.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shii Dharam Paul and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers, Brick Kilan owner Allah Pur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication-;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dharam Paul was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/93-83/42138.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kishan Chand and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers, Brick Kilan owner Allah Pur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana. Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kishan Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/93-83/42145.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hira and the management of Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Brick Kilan owner, Allar Pur, tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridatad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hira was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/93-83/42152.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Balu and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma & Brothers Brick Kilan owner Allah Pur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Balu, was justisfied and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?